

## GALLUP NEWS SERVICE

### 2016 ELECTION

Results are based on telephone interviews with a random sample of -- 1,537 -- national adults, aged 18+, living in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, conducted April 15-17, 2016.

For results based on the total sample of National Adults, the margin of error is  $\pm 3$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

For results based on the sample of -- 1,371 -- registered voters, the margin of error is  $\pm 4$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

For results based on the sample of -- 719 -- Republicans and Republican-leaning independents, the margin of error is  $\pm 5$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

For results based on the sample of -- 672 -- Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents, the margin of error is  $\pm 5$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample of national adults includes a minimum quota of 60% cell phone respondents and 40% landline respondents, with additional minimum quotas by time zone within region. Landline and cell phone numbers are selected using random digit dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member has the next birthday.

Samples are weighted to correct for unequal selection probability, non-response, and double coverage of landline and cell users in the two sampling frames. They are also weighted to match the national demographics of gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, population density, and phone status (cell phone-only/landline only/both, cell phone mostly). Demographic weighting targets are based on the March 2015 Current Population Survey figures for the aged 18 and older U.S. population. Phone status targets are based on the January-June 2015 National Health Interview Survey. Population density targets are based on the 2010 census. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting.

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

---

5. *(Asked of Republicans and Republican-leaning independents)* Are you generally pleased with the selection of the candidates running for the Republican nomination, or do you wish someone else was running for president?

**BASED ON --719-- REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANING INDEPENDENTS**

	<u>Generally pleased</u>	<u>Wish someone else running</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2016 Apr 15-17	44	55	2

***For comparison:***

	<u>Generally pleased</u>	<u>Wish someone else running</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Feb 16-19	44	55	2
1996 Feb 23-25	46	49	5
1992 Mar 11-12 ^	59	39	2
1992 Feb 19-20 ^	62	32	6

^Based on Republicans and Republican leaners who are registered to vote

**For comparison:** *Given your understanding of who is definitely running for the Republican nomination for president, are you generally pleased with that selection of candidates, or do you wish someone else would get into the race?*

	<u>Pleased with selection</u>	<u>Wish someone else would get into race</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2007 Sep 7-8	70	26	4
2007 Apr 13-15	61	33	7

6. *(Asked of Republicans and Republican-leaning independents)* Do you think the continuing campaign for the Republican nomination is hurting the party or not hurting the party?

**BASED ON --719-- REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANING INDEPENDENTS**

	<u>Hurting</u>	<u>Not hurting</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2016 Apr 15-17	63	34	3

**For comparison:**

	<u>Hurting</u>	<u>Not hurting</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Feb 16-19	40	57	4

7. *(Asked of Republicans and Republican-leaning independents)* In your view, would it be better for one of the three Republican candidates running to win enough delegates in the primaries to secure the presidential nomination before the party's convention, or would it be better if none of the three candidates won enough delegates so that the party can pick someone else to be the nominee at the convention?

**BASED ON --719-- REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANING INDEPENDENTS**

	Better if one wins enough delegates in <u>primaries</u>	Better if none wins enough delegates and <u>convention chooses</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2016 Apr 15-17	65	30	5

**For comparison:** *In your view, would it be better for one of the four Republican candidates running to win enough delegates in the primaries to secure the presidential nomination before the party's convention, or would it be better if none of the four candidates won enough delegates so that the party can pick someone else to be the nominee at the convention?*

	Better if one wins enough delegates in <u>primaries</u>	Better if none wins enough delegates and <u>convention chooses</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Feb 16-19	66	29	6

9. *(Asked of Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents)* Are you generally pleased with the selection of the candidates running for the Democratic nomination, or do you wish someone else was running for president?

**BASED ON --672-- DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANING INDEPENDENTS**

	Generally <u>pleased</u>	Wish someone <u>else running</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2016 Apr 15-17	55	42	3

**For comparison:**

	Generally <u>pleased</u>	Wish someone <u>else running</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2002 Dec 16-17	48	39	13
1992 Mar 11-12 ^	42	54	5
1992 Feb 19-20 ^	44	45	10

^Based on Democrats and Democratic leaners who are registered to vote

**For comparison:** *Given your understanding of who is definitely running for the Democratic nomination for president, are you generally pleased with that selection of candidates, or do you wish someone else would get into the race?*

	Pleased <u>with selection</u>	Wish someone else <u>would get into race</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2007 Sep 7-8	73	23	4
2007 Apr 13-15	80	18	3

10. *(Asked of Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents)* Do you think the continuing campaign for the Democratic nomination is hurting the party or not hurting the party?

**BASED ON --672-- DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANING INDEPENDENTS**

	<u>Hurting</u>	<u>Not hurting</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2016 Apr 15-17	24	71	5

**For comparison:** Which comes closer to your view– [ROTATED: the continuing Democratic nomination campaign is hurting the Democratic Party and party leaders should get together and back one of the candidates (or) the continuing Democratic nomination campaign is not hurting the Democratic Party and it should continue until one of the candidates has clinched the nomination]?

	<u>Hurting party/ Back one candidate</u>	<u>Not hurting party/ Continue campaign</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2008 Apr 18-20	48	48	4